

United States Patent and Trademark Office

••

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/269,618	07/21/1999	RICHARD BILLINGSLEY	DYOUP0185US	1326
7590 06/02/2004			EXAMINER	
DON W BUL	SON	REAGAN, JAMES A		
RENNER OTTO BOISSELLE & SKLAR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1621 EUCLID AVENUE			ARTONII	PAFER NUMBER
19TH FLOOR		3621		
CLEVELAND, OH 44115			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Λ				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/269,618	BILLINGSLEY, RICHARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James A. Reagan	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-48,51-53,61-63,65-69 and 74</u> is/a	re pending in the application.	,				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	,				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		`,				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-48,51-53,61-63,65-69 and 74</u> is/a	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,3-48,51-53,61-63,65-69 and 74</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		Λ.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p	, (2) 5. (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	ed III tillo National Otage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		-d				
222 m2 mashed detailed office deteit for a list	2 3030 00pio0 not 1000ivt					
Attachmont(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(/PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number:

09/269,618 Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- This action is in response to the amendment received on 20 April 2004 (paper #25).
- 2. Claims 52 has been amended (paper #25).
- 3. Claims 49, 50, and 73 have been cancelled (paper #25).
- 4. Claims 1, 3-48, 51-53, 61-63, 65-69, and 74 have been examined.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 52 and 53 are allowed.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments received on 20 April 2004 have been fully considered but they moot based on the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number:

.09/269,618

Art Unit: 3621

8. Claims 1, 3-48, 51-53, 61-63, 65-69, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosen (US 5,898,154 A) in view of Hirova et al (US 5,754,654 A), and further in view of Schneier (Applied Cryptography).

Examiner's note: Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Regarding claims 1, 10, 12 13, and 61-63.

Hiroya et al. teach an electronic ticket vending system such that Applicant's value note reads on the electronic ticket storage device, Applicant's first information reads on PTi, Applicant's second information reads on the ticket information, 610, and Applicant's step of calculating third information (RSA type signature – asymmetric encryption algorithm) reads on STk and column 15, lines 38 - 44. Rosen teaches an electronic monetary system such that Applicant's value note reads on element 11, Applicant's bearer's public key information (first information) reads on the identifier for money generator module, element 6. Applicant's information representative of a commodity (second information) reads on the type of note (credit or currency), Applicant's issuer's signature and issuer's

Art Unit: 3621

public key information reads on the issuing bank's identifier and column 14, lines 6 - 14, Applicant's redemption instruction information reads on column 19. lines 30 - 65 (Body group of data fields) and Applicant's bearer's signature reads on the digital signature of the Money Generator module, element 6 and columns 19 and 20, lines 54 - 67 and lines 1 - 4, respectively. Schneier discloses reversing of the traditional procedure using public and private kevs for encryption/decryption as well as using PKI for digital signatures (pages 37-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Hiroya/Rosen/Schneier because utilizing the public key encryption and digital signatures provides a secure and efficient method of transferring valuable digital objects.

In addition, Applicant's method of handling a value note reads on Hiroya et al's refunding a purchased ticket, columns 19 - 20. Applicant's providing redemption instruction information reads on the message VR and Applicant's providing a bearer's signature reads on column 20, lines 24 - 28 and column 1, lines 37 - 42 (RSA type signature - asymmetric encryption algorithm). RSA is considered inherent to public key cryptography used by Rosen. See also Rosen figure 51 and column 20, beginning at line 14, through column 22, line 57, approximately.

· 09/269,618

Art Unit: 3621

Regarding claims 3, 4, 8 and 9:

Applicant's step of providing reads on the day and time of the event. It is inherent to this event day and time information that the ticket will expire (or become invalid) after that day. Se also Rosen Figure 51.

Regarding claims 5 - 7:

Applicant's identification information reads on transaction serial number included in the ticket information, 610. See also Rosen, columns 19 - 20, lines 54 - 67 and 1 - 4, respectively.

Regarding claim 11:

Applicant's electronic public communication system reads on the public telephone system. See also Rosen element 1, element 4 and figure 3, and Applicant's network reads on column 2, lines 57 - 65.

Regarding claims 14 and 26:

Applicant's method of handling a value note reads on Hiroya et al's refunding a purchased ticket, columns 19 - 20. Applicant's providing redemption instruction information reads on the message VR and Applicant's providing a bearer's signature reads on column 20, lines 24 - 28 and column 1, lines 37 - 42 (RSA type signature - asymmetric encryption algorithm). RSA is considered inherent to public key cryptography used by Rosen. See also Rosen figure 51 and column 20, beginning at line 14, through column 22, line 57, approximately.

·09/269,618 Art Unit: 3621

Regarding claim 15:

Applicant's value note reads on the electronic ticket storage device, Applicant's

first information reads on PT1i, Applicant's second information reads on the ticket

information, 610, and Applicant's step of calculating third information reads on

STk and column 15, lines 38 - 44.

Regarding claims 16 and 17:

Applicant's step of calculating the signature based on information including

the redemption instruction information reads on column 20, lines 52 - 57.

Regarding claim 25:

Applicant's identification reference reads on Hiroya's the transaction

number.

Regarding claims 18 - 25 and 51:

Applicant's redemption instruction information reads on Rosen figure 51

and column 20, beginning at line 14, through column 22, line 57, approximately.

Regarding claim 27:

Applicant's electronic public communication system reads on the public

telephone system.

Regarding claim 28:

Applicant's method of handling redemption instruction information reads

on Hiroya et al's refunding a purchased ticket (value note), columns 19 - 20,

wherein Applicant's step of verifying reads on the discussion at column 20. lines

39 -57. Applicant's verification prior to redeeming the value note reads on

Art Unit: 3621

Rosen's verifying the certificates of the money modules and column 19 - 20, lines 66 - 67 and 1 - 4, respectively.

Regarding claim 29:

Applicant's plurality of value notes reads on the situation of Hiroya et al when there is more than one ticket on the device and a bearer wishes to receive a refund of more than one ticket.

Regarding claim 30:

Applicant's value note reads on the electronic ticket, Applicant's first information reads on PT1 i, Applicant's second information reads on the ticket information, 610, and Applicant's third information reads on column 20, lines 52 - 57. Applicant's providing redemption instruction information reads on the message VR and Applicant's providing a bearer's signature reads on column 20, lines 24 - 28.

Regarding claims 31 and 32:

Applicant's receiving information without a value note reads on the ticket information, VR, that is transmitted to the vending and refunding device from the ticket device and containing the message R initially sent by the vending and refunding device message R is the same as that of the vending and refunding device. See column 20, lines 39 - 57.

Regarding claims 33 - 35:

Applicant's step of verifying that the note was not previously presented for redemption reads on verifying the ticket information (transaction number). If the

Art Unit: 3621

transaction number was presented to the vending and refund device a second time, the transaction number would not be found in the history and no second refund could be given to the ticket device.

Regarding claim 36:

Applicant's counter signature reads on the publisher's signature.

Regarding claim 37:

Applicant's endorsement signature reads on the publisher's signature.

Regarding claim 38:

Applicant's expiry information reads on the date and time of the event.

Applicant's testing reads on the determination by the vending and refunding device that the event has not passed before giving a refund.

Regarding claim 39:

Applicant's valid-from information reads on the inherent information of the purchase date and the event date; i.e., the ticket will only be valid for a refund (redeemed) after the purchase date and before the event date.

Regarding claims 40 - 43 and 46:

Applicant's redemption instruction information reads on Rosen figure 51 and column 20, beginning at line 14, through column 22, line 57, approximately.

Regarding claim 44:

Applicants' step or issuing replacement notes reads on Rosen columns 51 and 52, lines 65 - 67 and 1 - 12, respectively.

Art Unit: 3621

Regarding claim 45:

Applicant's different bearer's public key reads on Rosen column 37, line 48 - 55 wherein "A" represents the bearer of money generating module "A".

Regarding claims 47 and 48:

Applicant's step of communicating each value not electronically to a remote party corresponding to the source of the value note reads on communicating with the issuer via the network of Rosen.

Regarding claims 65, 67 and 68:

Applicant's step of providing a list of identification information and redemption requests and step of providing a bearer's signature reads on Rosen columns 12 - 13, lines 49 - 67 and lines 1 - 36.

Regarding claim 66:

Applicant's one redemption request including a request to issue a new value note is considered inherent to the system of Rosen; i.e., when the value of the transfer amount is less than the value of the note, a new note will be issued to reflect the difference. See also column 20, lines 5 - 14.

Regarding claim 69:

Applicant's money handling authority reads on Rosen element 28.

Regarding Claim 74:

Applicant's bank terminal reads on Rosen element 1, Applicant's user terminal reads on element 3, and Applicant's network reads on element 4. See Figure 1.

·09/269,618

Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JAR

27 May 2004

gmm/m/